
From: Henning, Alan
To: Peterson, Erik
Sent: 8/28/2014 7:47:49 PM
Subject: RE: Draft Forestry - Riparian Rationale

Thanks Erik.

Teresa is going to take a stab at addressing some of the issues Allison and Jayne identified in the rationale. I will send you her suggested additions/changes. I am working on addressing comments on the landslides rationale paper and will send that to you as well. We are trying to get the drafts finalized this week to send them to the CZARA Management Team.

From: Peterson, Erik
Sent: Tuesday, August 26, 2014 6:45 PM
To: Henning, Alan
Subject: RE: Draft Forestry - Riparian Rationale

Hi Alan,

I'm open to trying to provide some comments on this rationale, but I also think that Teresa, Peter and Leigh are more knowledgeable on this topic. I'm less familiar with Jayne's expertise.

If they have provided comments, would you share those with the group? That would help me know whether I can provide new or additional thoughts.

Erik Peterson
Office of Ecosystems, Tribal and Public Affairs
EPA Region 10 - Seattle
peterson.erik@epa.gov
206-553-6382

From: Henning, Alan
Sent: Saturday, August 23, 2014 12:49 PM
To: Peterson, Erik; Wu, Jennifer; Kubo, Teresa; Leinenbach, Peter
Cc: allison.castellan@noaa.gov; Woodruff, Leigh; Carlin, Jayne
Subject: Draft Forestry - Riparian Rationale

Hey guys,

If possible, I would love to get your review/input on the attached document. It isn't long (only three pages), but it is critical that what we say here is solid and accurate. The attached is the draft rationale (that Allison put together – my minor edits are in red) which provides the basis for our CZARA decision on the Forestry-Riparian issue. It would be great if you all would double check the statements made on the science and flesh out some of the issues with additional science where needed (see the yellow comments). Our goal is to present this final rationale to the NOAA/EPA management team on September 3rd. Assuming their approval of the direction presented in the rationale, I see this as one of the cornerstone decision issues on the State's CNPCP. I know this is an unreasonable request especially since this is short notice and this is a week leading into a holiday weekend, but it would be great if you could provide me your input by the COB on Wednesday.

In addition, I am currently putting together the draft rationale for the landslides issue. We did present an options paper

to the management team on this issue. The management team generally supported the staff's recommendation to disapprove based on the lack of management measures for protecting water quality from potentially high risk landslides. The management team identified areas where they wanted additional feedback i.e., how other state programs address landslides, and recommendations for specific actions we could suggest to the state to address this issue. Our goal is to present the final rationale to the management team on the 3rd as well. As soon as I get the rationale drafted, I will share it with you.

And the roads issue – Well, an options paper needs to be presented to management and a rationale paper needs to be finalized on this issue. Using the drafts developed thus far by the roads team, I'll be working to get the options paper and the rationale completed for the management team ASAP. These will be shared with you all as well when they are ready to go.

Of the issues presented in our proposed decision to disapprove the State's CNPCP, these forestry issues are the ones where the CZARA tech team agrees there is solid information/data to move forward with our final CZARA decision. If management agrees on these issues, and the management team and legal teams agree our rationale documents are solid, we will likely see the management team begin briefing upper-upper management on this decision. While the elevation process takes place, staff will be developing the responses to comments document for these issues.

Feel free to give me a call if you have any questions.

Alan
541-687-7360